



# Notice

This Report to Congress has been subjected to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) review process and approved for publication as an EPA document. For further information about this Report, contact the Policy and Analysis Staff in the Office of Program Management, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response at (202) 260-2182. Individual copies of the Report can be obtained from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by writing to: NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, or calling (703) 487-4650.

# Foreword

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) continued its progress in protecting public health, welfare, and the environment through the Superfund program in fiscal year 1992 (FY92). As the Superfund program reached its twelfth year, the Agency had begun work at nearly 96 percent of the 1,275 sites on the National Priorities List (NPL). (These 1,275 NPL sites include 1,150 general or non-federal sites and 125 federal facility sites.) EPA is pleased to submit this Report documenting the fiscal year's achievements.

Section 301(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, or Superfund), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, requires the Agency to report annually on response activities and accomplishments and to compare remedial and enforcement activities with those undertaken in previous fiscal years. As a result of emphasis on remedial construction, 88 NPL sites were placed in the construction completion category during the fiscal year, bringing the program total to 149 sites. The Agency also started nearly 90 remedial investigation/feasibility studies, more than 170 remedial designs (RDs), and more than 110 remedial actions (RAs) during the fiscal year.

EPA has continued its successful efforts to compel potentially responsible parties (PRPs) to clean up hazardous waste sites. PRPs began more than 70 percent of the RDs and RAs started in FY92. EPA entered into 241 enforcement agreements with a potential value of more than \$1.4 billion; this is the third consecutive year in which Superfund enforcement agreements achieved over \$1 billion in clean-up commitments. The Agency and PRPs have now started more than 3,040 removal actions, including 380 during FY92. Federal facility accomplishments have shown dramatic increases; 104 of the federal facility sites on the NPL are now covered by interagency agreements for clean-up activities. EPA also continued to encourage public involvement in the Superfund process, to enhance partnerships with states and Indian tribes, and to encourage the use and development of treatment technologies.

In addition to providing an overall perspective on progress in the past fiscal year, this Report contains the information Congress specifically requested in Section 301(h) of CERCLA, including a report on the status of remedial actions and enforcement activity in progress at the end of the fiscal year and an evaluation of newly developed feasible and achievable treatment technologies. The Report also includes a description of current minority firm participation in Superfund contracts and EPA's efforts to encourage their increased participation,

# Foreword (continued)

as required by Section 105(f). The Report fulfills the requirement of Section 301(h)(1)(E) for an annual update on progress being made at sites subject to review under Section 121(c). Appendix D consists of a matrix that charts the progress of EPA and other government organizations in meeting Superfund-related statutory requirements. This Report also satisfies other reporting requirements of Section 121(c); the *EPA Annual Report to Congress: Progress Toward Implementing CERCLA at EPA Facilities as Required by CERCLA Section 120(e)(5)*. The EPA Inspector General's report on the reasonableness and accuracy of the information in this Report, as required by CERCLA Section 301(h)(2), is included as Appendix E.

Appendix G is included to give an overall summary of the Superfund Program in fiscal years 1992 through 1994.

---

Carol M. Browner  
Administrator

---

Timothy Fields, Jr.  
Acting Assistant Administrator for  
Solid Waste and Emergency Response

# Acknowledgments

The Environmental Protection Agency appreciates the contributions made by staff members throughout the Agency's management and program offices, as well as other federal agencies and departments. Within the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, which manages the Superfund program, contributors included: Jim Fary (project manager), Gayle Dye, Dave Evans, Linda Garczysnki, Rafael Gonzalez, Justin Karp, James Maas, Jim McMaster, Caroline Previ, Robin Richardson, Michelle Whitehead, and Ed Ziomkoski, from the Office of Program Management; Henry L. Longest, II, and Betti VanEpps, from the Office of Emergency and Remedial Response; Barbara Hostage, Dave Lopez, and Esther Williford, from the Emergency Response Division; George Alderson, Kirby Briggs, Hugo Fleischman, Jo Ann Griffith, Diana J. Hammer, Carol Jacobson, Jeff Langholz, Kenneth Lovelace, Shahid Mahmud, Carolyn Offutt, Bill Ross, and Melissa Shapiro, from the Hazardous Site Control Division; Barbara Bach, Susan Griffin, Jim Konz, Lisa Matthews, Delores Rodgers-Smith, Chuck Sands, and Suzanne Wells, from the Hazardous Site Evaluation Division; Scott Blair and Pat Kennedy, from the Office of Waste Programs Enforcement; and Jeff Heimerman and Meg Kelly, from the Technology Innovation Office.

Additional key contributions from other Environmental Protection Agency offices were provided by: Betty Bailey, Jonathon Cannon, and Elizabeth Craig, Office of Acquisition Management; Howard Wilson, Office of Administration and Resources Management; Deborah Banks, Maryann Froelich, Stacey Greendlinger, and Tony Wolbarst, Office of Air and Radiation; Steve Herman, Linda Rutsch, Augusta Wills, and Jim Woolford, Office of Enforcement; Earl Salo and Lee Tyner, Office of General Counsel; Stuart Miles-McLean, Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation; Steven James, John Martin, Richard Nalesnik, Peter Preuss, and Louis Swaby, Office of Research and Development; and George Mori and Becky Neer, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization.

Contributions from other federal agencies and departments were provided by: Dr. William Cibulas, Jose Irizarry, and Dr. Ralph O'Connor, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; Keith Frye, Department of Energy; Lt. Col. Steve Walker, Department of Defense; and Mary Morton, Department of Interior.



# Contents

Notice .....	ii
Foreword .....	iii
Acknowledgments.....	v
Executive Summary .....	xiii
<b>Chapter 1: Accelerating Cleanup .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Achieving Cleanups.....	1
1.2 Superfund Accelerated Clean-Up Model .....	3
1.2.1 Single, Continuous Site Assessment .....	3
1.2.2 Regional Decision Teams .....	5
1.2.3 Early Actions to Reduce Immediate Risks .....	5
1.2.4 Long-Term Actions to Restore the Environment .....	5
1.2.5 Implementation .....	6
1.3 Other Efforts to Accelerate the Pace of Cleanup .....	7
1.3.1 Standardizing Remedy Planning and Selection .....	7
1.3.2 Shortening the Remedial Design Phase .....	8
1.3.3 Resolving Issues that Cause Delays .....	9
1.3.4 Accelerating the Pace of PRP Cleanups .....	9
<b>Chapter 2: Major Initiatives .....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 The Superfund Revitalization Office .....	11
2.2 Promoting Consistency in Risk Assessment and Risk Management .....	11
2.2.1 Risk Assessment Initiatives .....	11
2.2.2 Risk Management Initiatives .....	13
2.3 Advancing the Use of Innovative Treatment Technologies .....	14
2.3.1 Increasing the Availability of Cost and Performance Data .....	14
2.3.2 Centralizing Access to Information .....	16
2.3.3 Overcoming Regulatory Barriers .....	16
2.3.4 Providing Technical Support .....	17
2.4 Improving Agency Contracting.....	17
2.4.1 Improving Contract Management and Accountability .....	18
2.4.2 Eliminating Excess Contract Capacity .....	19
2.4.3 Controlling Costs .....	19
2.4.4 Securing Quality Work from Contractors .....	20
2.5 Enhancing Communications .....	20
2.5.1 Improving Measures of Superfund Success .....	20
2.5.2 Public Outreach .....	21

# Contents (continued)

<b>Chapter 3: Site Evaluation Accomplishments .....</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Site Assessment .....	23
3.1.1 The Inventory of Sites (CERCLIS) .....	23
3.1.2 Preliminary Assessments .....	24
3.1.3 Site Inspections .....	25
3.2 National Priorities List .....	26
3.2.1 National Priorities List Update .....	26
3.2.2 Relationship between CERCLIS and NPL Data .....	26
3.3 The Lead Program .....	26
3.3.1 The Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model .....	26
3.3.2 Three City Lead Study .....	27
3.4 The Radiation Program .....	28
3.4.1 Superfund Program Guidance .....	28
3.4.2 Technology Demonstration and Evaluation .....	28
3.4.3 Regional Assistance .....	29
3.5 Guidance Documents .....	29
<b>Chapter 4: Emergency Response Accomplishments .....</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1 The Removal Action Process .....	31
4.2 Progress in Addressing Immediate Threats .....	33
4.2.1 Status Report on Removal Actions .....	33
4.2.2 Expanding the Use of Removal Authority .....	33
4.3 Environmental Response Team .....	35
4.4 Emergency Response Guidance and Rulemaking .....	35
4.4.1 Superfund Removal Procedures Manual .....	36
4.4.2 Reportable Quantity Regulatory Program .....	36
<b>Chapter 5: Remedial Accomplishments .....</b>	<b>39</b>
5.1 Remedial Progress .....	39
5.1.1 The Remedial Process .....	39
5.1.2 Fiscal Year Accomplishments .....	41
5.1.3 Status of Remedial and Enforcement Activities in Progress .....	42
5.2 Remedy Selection .....	43
5.3 Remedial Initiatives .....	44
5.4 Use and Development of Treatment Technologies .....	47
5.4.1 The Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation Program .....	47
5.4.2 Superfund Research Grants .....	52
5.4.3 Technical Assistance, Expert Advice, and Information Transfer .....	53
5.5 Report on Facilities Subject to Review Under CERCLA Section 121(c) .....	54



# Contents (continued)

<b>Chapter 6: Enforcement Accomplishments .....</b>	<b>55</b>
6.1 The Enforcement Process .....	55
6.2 Fiscal Year 1992 Accomplishments .....	56
6.2.1 Settlements for Response Activities .....	56
6.2.2 PRP Participation in Clean-Up Activities .....	56
6.2.3 Cost Recovery Achievements .....	57
6.3 Success in Reaching and Enforcing Agreements with PRPs .....	58
6.3.1 Consent Decrees for Remedial Design/Remedial Action .....	58
6.3.2 Unilateral Administrative Orders .....	60
6.3.3 Consent Decrees for Cost Recovery .....	61
6.3.4 <i>De Minimis</i> Settlement Under CERCLA Section 122(g) .....	63
6.4 Enforcement Initiatives .....	64
6.4.1 Enforcement Under the Superfund Accelerated Clean-Up Model .....	64
6.4.2 Early <i>De Minimis</i> Guidance .....	65
6.4.3 Final Lender Liability Rule .....	65
6.4.4 Cost Recovery Initiatives .....	65
<b>Chapter 7: Federal Facility Cleanups .....</b>	<b>67</b>
7.1 Federal Facility Responsibility Under CERCLA .....	67
7.1.1 Facility Responsibilities .....	67
7.1.2 EPA'S Oversight Role .....	67
7.1.3 The Role of States and Indian Tribes .....	68
7.2 Progress at Federal Facility Sites .....	68
7.2.1 Federal Agency Hazardous Waste Compliance Docket .....	69
7.2.2 Progress Toward Cleaning Up Federal Facilities on the NPL .....	70
7.2.3 Federal Facility Agreements Under CERCLA Section 120 .....	70
7.3 Federal Facility Initiatives .....	71
7.3.1 Base Closure .....	71
7.3.2 Accelerated Cleanups at Federal Facilities .....	72
7.3.3 Interagency Forums .....	72
7.3.4 Innovative Technology Development .....	72
7.4 CERCLA Implementation at EPA Facilities .....	73
7.4.1 Requirements of CERCLA Section 120(e)(5) .....	73
7.4.2 Progress in Cleaning Up EPA Facilities Subject to Section 120 of CERCLA .....	74
<b>Chapter 8: Superfund Program Support Activities .....</b>	<b>77</b>
8.1 Community Relations and Technical Assistance Grants .....	77
8.1.1 Fiscal Year 1992 Highlights .....	78
8.1.2 Technical Assistance Grants Under CERCLA Section 117(e) .....	78
8.2 A Coordinated Approach to Public Information .....	79

# Contents (continued)

8.3	EPA's Partnership with States and Indian Tribes .....	81
8.3.1	Response Agreements and Core Program Cooperative Agreements .....	81
8.3.2	Fiscal Year 1992 Highlights .....	83
8.4	Minority Firm Participation in Superfund Contracting .....	84
8.4.1	Minority Firm Contracting During Fiscal Year 1992 .....	84
8.4.2	EPA Efforts to Identify Qualified Minority Firms .....	85
8.4.3	Efforts to Encourage Other Federal Departments and Agencies to Use Minority Contractors .....	85
8.4.4	Publications of Interest to Minority Contractors .....	86

## **Chapter 9: Estimate of Resources ..... 87**

9.1	Source and Application of Superfund Resources .....	88
9.1.1	Estimating the Scope of Cleanup .....	89
9.1.2	PRP Contributions to the Clean-Up Effort .....	89
9.2	Estimated Resources to Complete Current NPL Sites .....	89
9.2.1	Estimated Cost to Complete Existing NPL Sites .....	90
9.2.2	Program Element Assumptions Represented in the Model .....	90
9.3	Estimates of Resources Necessary for Other Executive Branch Departments and Agencies to Complete Superfund Implementation.....	92

## **Appendices**

Appendix A	Status of Remedial Investigations, Feasibility Studies, and Remedial Actions at sites on the National Priorities List in Progress on September 30, 1992 .....	A-1
Appendix B	Remedial Designs in Progress on September 30, 1992 .....	B-1
Appendix C	List of Records of Decision .....	C-1
Appendix D	Progress Toward Meeting Superfund-Related Statutory Requirements .....	D-1
Appendix E	Report of the EPA Inspector General .....	E-1
Appendix F	List of Sources .....	F-1
Appendix G	Summary of the Superfund Program [1992-1994] .....	G-1

# Contents (continued)

## Exhibits

Exhibit ES-1	Summary of Fiscal Year 1992 Superfund Activities .....	xiv
Exhibit ES-2	Summary of Program Activity by Fiscal Year .....	xv
Exhibit ES-3	Statutory Requirements for the Report .....	xix
Exhibit 1.1-1	Superfund Sites in the Construction Completion Category .....	2
Exhibit 1.2-1	Superfund Accelerated Clean-Up Model .....	4
Exhibit 2.1-1	Superfund Revitalization Office Structure .....	12
Exhibit 2.3-1	Development of Innovative Technologies .....	15
Exhibit 3.1-1	Sites Added to CERCLIS .....	24
Exhibit 3.1-2	Preliminary Assessments Fiscal Year Comparison .....	25
Exhibit 3.1-3	Site Inspections Fiscal Year Comparison .....	25
Exhibit 3.2-1	Final NPL Sites for Fiscal Year 1987 Through Fiscal Year 1992 .....	27
Exhibit 4.1-1	Typical Removal Response Actions .....	32
Exhibit 4.2-1	Removal Action Starts .....	34
Exhibit 4.2-2	Removal Action Completions .....	35
Exhibit 5.1-1	Work Has Occurred at Most National Priorities List Sites .....	40
Exhibit 5.1-2	Remedial Accomplishments Under the Superfund Program for Fiscal Year 1980 Through Fiscal Year 1992 .....	41
Exhibit 5.1-3	Comparison of Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Starts .....	42
Exhibit 5.1-4	Comparison of Remedial Design Starts .....	42
Exhibit 5.1-5	Comparison of Remedial Action Starts .....	43
Exhibit 5.1-6	Projects in Progress at National Priorities List Sites by Lead for Fiscal Year 1991 and Fiscal Year 1992 .....	44
Exhibit 5.2-1	Summary of Remedies Selected in Fiscal Year 1992 Records of Decision ....	45
Exhibit 5.2-2	Percentage Distribution of Remedies Selected in Fiscal Year 1992 Records of Decision .....	46
Exhibit 5.4-1	Innovative Technologies in the Emerging Technology Program .....	48
Exhibit 5.4-2	Innovative Technologies in the Demonstration Program .....	49
Exhibit 6.2-1	Estimated Value of PRP Response Settlements .....	57
Exhibit 6.2-2	Increase in the Percentage of Remedial Designs and Remedial Actions Started by PRPs since the Enactment of SARA .....	58
Exhibit 6.2-3	Cost Recovery Settlements .....	59
Exhibit 6.2-4	Cost Recovery Collections .....	59
Exhibit 7.2-1	Number of Federal Facilities on the Hazardous Waste Compliance Docket .....	69
Exhibit 7.2-2	Distribution of Federal Facilities on the Hazardous Waste Compliance Docket .....	70
Exhibit 7.4-1	Status of EPA Facilities on the Federal Agency Hazardous Waste Compliance Docket .....	75
Exhibit 8.1-1	Number of Technical Assistance Grants Awarded from Fiscal Year 1988 Through Fiscal Year 1992 .....	80

# Contents (continued)

Exhibit 8.4-1	Minority Contract Utilization During Fiscal Year 1992.....	85
Exhibit 8.4-2	Amount of Money Awarded to Minority Firms Through Direct Procurement.....	86
Exhibit 8.4-3	Services Provided by Minority Contractors.....	86
Exhibit 9.1-1	EPA Superfund Obligations.....	89
Exhibit 9.2-1	Estimate of Total Trust Fund Liability to Complete Cleanup at Sites on the National Priorities List .....	90
Exhibit 9.3-1	CERCLA Resource Needs and Interagency Funding for Other Federal Departments and Agencies.....	93